



Central NM Science & Engineering Research Challenge
HUMAN PARTICIPANTS PROJECTS PRE-REGIONAL COMPETITION REVIEW

Date: Student Name:

School:

Project Description:

Empty box for project description

CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL

1. Do you agree that risks to Participants were minimized? Yes No

Risks were minimized:

- a. By using procedures which are consistent with sound research design and which do not unnecessarily expose Participants to risk and;
b. Whenever appropriate, by using procedures already being performed on the Participants for diagnostic or treatment purposes

2. Do you agree that risks to Participants were reasonable in relation to anticipated benefits, if any, to Participants, and the importance of the knowledge that may reasonably be expected to result? Yes No

In evaluating risks and benefits:

- a. Only those risks and benefits that may result from the research, as distinguished from risks and benefits of therapies Participants would receive even if not participating in the research should be considered
b. Possible long-range effects of applying knowledge gained in the research (i.e., the possible effects of the research on public policy) should NOT be considered.

3. Do you agree that the selection of Participants was equitable? Yes No

In making this assessment, take into account:

- a. The purpose of the research
b. The setting in which the research will be conducted
c. Special problems of research involving vulnerable populations

4. Do you agree that, when appropriate, the research plan made adequate provision for monitoring the data collected to ensure the safety of Participants? Yes No

5. Do you agree that, when appropriate, there were adequate provisions to protect the privacy of Participants and to maintain the confidentiality of data? Yes No

6. Was the research conducted at a Regulated Research Institution? Yes No

7. If yes to #6, was Institutional approval granted prior to implementation of the research? Yes No

8. If yes to #6, did an approval letter from the Institution accompany the protocol paperwork? Yes No

9. Is a review by any other Compliance Unit warranted (animal, biosafety, etc.)? Yes No

If yes, please state what review is required:

Review Done

VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

10. Are vulnerable Populations included in the research? Yes No
11. Do you agree that the additional safeguards included were appropriate and adequate to protect the rights and welfare of these Participants? Yes No
 Not Applicable
12. Do you agree with the risk determination given by the SRC/IRB review? Yes No
 Not Applicable

INFORMED CONSENT/ASSENT/PERMISSION

13. Was the consent process appropriate for the targeted population? Yes No
14. If YES to #11, was written informed consent/assent/permission obtained? Yes No
 Not Applicable
15. If YES to #11, was the consent form(s) language understandable by the targeted subject population? Yes No
 Not Applicable

STUDENT RESEARCHER SAFETY

16. Did the student and his/her sponsor consider potential risks the researcher himself/herself might encounter during the course of the project? Yes No

General Comments/Recommendations:

- ACCEPT FOR REGIONAL COMPETITION
- REQUIRES ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (as explained in General Comments/Recommendations)
- DENIED FOR REGIONAL COMPETITION (as explained in General Comments/Recommendations)

Signature of UNM HRPO Staff or IRB Member

Date

Email Address

Phone